DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

ORIGINAL

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OF THE SECRETARY OF

Washington, D.C. 20554	
In the Matter of)
Access Charge Reform) CC Docket No. 96-262
Price Cap Performance Review for Local Exchange Carriers) CC Docket No. 94-1
Interexchange Carrier Purchases of Switched Access Services Offered By Competitive Exchange Carriers))) CCB/CPD File No. 98-63)
Petition of US West Communications, Inc. For Forbearance from Regulation as a Carrier in the Phoenix, Arizona MSA)) CC Docket No. 98-157)

REPLY COMMENTS

BellSouth Corporation ("BellSouth"), on behalf of itself and its subsidiaries, hereby submits its Reply Comments on the Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking released August 27, 1999 ¹

1. The Commission finds itself at a critical point in the process of reforming interstate access charges. The path thus far has been long and arduous but the Commission's perseverance has produced the beginning of meaningful regulatory change. At this juncture, the Commission must choose the direction that will guide the telecommunications industry into the next century. The choices are distinct: will the Commission continue to sweep away archaic regulatory obstacles confronted by incumbent LECs and promote competitive parity, or will the

No. of Copies rec'd 0+9 List ABCDE

In the Matter of Access Charge Reform, Price Cap Performance Review for Local Exchange Carriers, Interexchange Carrier Purchases of Switched Access Services Offered by Competitive Local Exchange Carriers, and Petition of US West Communications, Inc. for Forbearance from Regulation as a Dominant Carrier in Phoenix, Arizona MSA, CC Docket Nos.

Commission hesitate in its reform efforts and resort to heavy-handed regulation that imprisons incumbent LECs?

- 2. Those that propound the gospel of regulation demonize incumbent LECs and view pricing flexibility and market-based regulation as aberrant excesses. Their fear of incumbent LECs is supposed to justify the Commission's return to a prescriptive form of regulation. Behind their rhetoric, however, is the real fear—competition. Those that characterize access reform as an unnecessary indulgence are in fact primary competitors of the incumbent LECs. Their role in this proceeding is not as a guardian of the public interest but rather as a guardian of their self-interests. As competitors of incumbent LECs, the lop-sided regulation of incumbent LECs affords them a competitive boost that is unrelated to innovation, entrepreneurial skill or marketplace savvy. Such benefits to individual competitors do not equate to advancing competition or the public interest.
- 3. The inherent advantages to competitors of incumbent LECs in delaying any further Commission action on pricing flexibility is patently obvious. Thus, it comes as no surprise that a few commenters suggest that the Commission do nothing with regard to establishing Phase II triggers for traffic sensitive services² or that some argue for unreasonable demonstrations for relief.³ In either case, the objective is the same: to maintain the regulatory vice on incumbent LECs.

^{96-262, 94-1, 98-63,} and 98-157, Fifth Report and Order and Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, FCC 99-206, released August 27, 1999 ("FNPRM").

MCI WorldCom at 7-8, Sprint at 8, C&W USA at 7, CompTel at 9.

³ AT&T at 8-11, GSA at 8, Time Warner at 25-27.

- 4. The time, however, has come for regulatory reform. The one certainty is that regulatory change lags the marketplace. The market conditions for regulatory relief have long existed. The debate over adaptive regulation has gone on for six years. The Commission needs to bring the debate to a close and put in place the access charge reforms that establish a meaningful framework for incumbent LECs to compete.
- 5. In its Reply Comments, USTA responds to those parties who oppose or would limit pricing flexibility for incumbent LECs. USTA demonstrates that arguments of those parties who would impose unrelated conditions on Phase II traffic sensitive triggers or would have the Commission postpone adopting such triggers simply are in error. USTA also shows that there is no basis in this proceeding for changing the local switching rate structure or for making any changes in the price cap formula. BellSouth has worked closely with USTA in its preparation of reply comments and fully supports USTA's position.
- 6. Access reform is not just about price reductions. Indeed, those parties that focus exclusively on achieving some preconceived magic price for access through regulatory prescription truly miss the complexities of the interstate access charge system. Interstate access charges carry the baggage of years of regulatory policies. There are implicit subsidies, some, such as universal service, direct and obvious and others, such as the enhanced services exemption, are more oblique. The Commission cannot force rate changes without addressing these matters.
- 7. Access reform is a necessary step toward modifying regulation in the wake of increased competition. Nevertheless there are other steps that the Commission could take that

would specifically address local switching rate levels and carrier recovery of common line costs that interexchange carriers have raised in this proceeding.

- 8. BellSouth is a member of the Coalition for Affordable Local and Long Distance Service ("CALLS") and a co-sponsor of the CALLS plan. The CALLS plan is a comprehensive approach to universal service and access charge reform. As such, it can deliver significant benefits to American consumers that cannot be achieved by some of the less balanced approaches that some commenters have put forward in this proceeding. The superiority of the CALLS plan is its recognition of the interdependence of several issues: (1) universal service—the plan establishes a universal service fund to replace existing implicit support in access charges; (2) loop cost recovery—the plan replaces the current patchwork of charges objected to in this proceeding with a single subscriber line charge and permits deaveraging; and (3) traffic sensitive rate reductions—the plan would result in traffic sensitive usage rates being reduced 50 percent below current levels which would then be "frozen" at the lower level.
- 9. The comprehensive approach reflected in the CALLS plan creates a rational interstate access charge structure that will encourage and support rural infrastructure investment. Further, the plan promotes the goals of the Communications Act through its creation of an explicit universal service fund. Because interstate access charges will no longer be a significant source of universal service support and because switched usage rates would be reduced to half the current level, the impact of the ESP exemption is substantially reduced. Thus, the CALLS plan can and does address most of the rate structure and rate level issues raised by the non-LEC commenters, but does so in a fair and equitable manner.

10. All of the pieces are before the Commission to completely reform the interstate access charge mechanism. Market-based triggers that decrease regulatory intervention to recognize competition are no less important than addressing access rate structures and rate levels. Completing the work to establish reasonable Phase II traffic sensitive triggers and acting favorably on the CALLS plan would create a pro-competitive regulatory framework that benefits local exchange carriers, interexchange carriers, LEC competitors and consumers to telecommunications services. Such action would bring to a close the effort that was begun six years ago by delivering to the public benefits that can ill afford to be squandered through inaction and delay.

Respectfully submitted,

BELLSOUTH CORPORATION

By:

M. Robert Sutherland Richard M. Sbaratta

Its Attorneys

Suite 1700 1155 Peachtree Street, N. E. Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3610 (404) 249-3386

Date: November 29, 1999

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I do hereby certify that I have this 29th day of November 1999 served the following parties to this action with a copy of the foregoing REPLY COMMENTS by hand delivery or placing a true and correct copy of the same in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, addressed to the parties listed on the attached service list.

Juanita H. Lee

Service List CC Docket Nos. 96-262, 94-1, 98-157 and CCB/CPD 98-63

Patricia D. Kravtin
Scott C. Lundquist
AD HOC Telecommunications
Users Committee
Economics and Technology, Inc.
One Washington Mall
Boston, MA 02108-2617

Robert T. McCausland Mary C. Albert Allegiance Telecom, Inc. 1950 Stemmons Freeway Suite 3026 Dallas, Texas 75207-3118

Carolyn C. Hill ALLTEL Communications, Inc. 601 Pennsylvania Avenue, N. W. Suite 720 Washington, DC 20004

Jonathan E. Canis Charles M. Oliver Enrico Soriano The Association For Local Telecommunications Services Kelly Drye & Warren LLP Fifth Floor, 1200 19th Street, N. W. Washington, D. C. 20036

Mark C. Rosenblum
Peter H. Jacoby
Judy Sello
AT&T Corporation
Room 1135L2
295 North Maple Avenue
Basking Ridge, New Jersey 07920

Coleen Boothby
AD HOC Telecommunications
Users Committee
Levine, Blaszak, Block & Boothby, LLP
Suite 900, 2001 L Street, NW
Washington, DC 20036

Patrick Donovan Kemal Hawa Allegiance Telecom, Inc. Swidler Berlin Shereff Friedman, LLP 3000 K Street, N. W., Suite 300 Washington, DC 20007

Jonathan Askin
Emily Williams
The Association for Local
Telecommunications Services
888 17th Street, N. W., Suite 900
Washington, DC 20006

Albert H. Kramer
Robert F. Alrich
American Public Communications Council
Dickstein Shapiro Morin
& Oskinsky LLP
2101 L. Street, N. W.
Washington, DC 20037-1526

Joseph DiBella Bell Atlantic 1320 North Courthouse Road Eighth Floor Arlington, Virginia 22201 Rachel J. Rothstein Brent M. Olson Cable & Wireless USA, Inc. 8219 Leesburg Pike Vienna, VA 22182

Doug Dawson Competitive Communications Group 6811 Kenilworth Avenue Suite 302 Riverdale, Maryland 20737

Christopher A. Holt Assistant General Counsel CoreComm Limited 110 East 59th Street, 26th Floor New York, NY 10022

Laura H. Phillips
J. G. Harrington
Cox Communications, Inc.
Dow, Lohnes & Albertson, PLLC
1200 New Hampshire Avenue, N. W.
Suite 800
Washington, DC 20036

Russell M. Blau Kemal M. Hawa Focal Communications Corporation Hyperion Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a Adelphia Business Solutions Swidler Berlin Shereff Friedman, LLP 3000 K Street, N.W., Suite 300 Washington, DC 20007-5116 Danny E. Adams
Robert J. Aamoth
Joan M. Griffin
Cable & Wireless USA, Inc.
Kelley Drye & Warren LLP
1200 19th Street, N.W., Suite 500
Washington, DC 20036

Carol Ann Bischoff Executive Vice President and General Counsel Competitive Telecommunications Association 1900 M Street N. W., Suite 800 Washington, DC 20036

James L. Casserly
Ghita J. Harris-Newton
CoreComm Limited
Mintz, Levin, Cohn, Ferris,
Glovsky and Popeo, P.C.
701 Pennsylvania Avenue, N. W., Suite 900
Washington, DC 20004

Andrew D. Lipman Tamar E. Finn CTSI, Inc. Swidler Berlin Shereff Friedman, LLP 3000 K Street, N. W., Suite 300 Washington, DC 20007

George N. Barclay Michael J. Ettner General Services Administration 1800 F Street, N. W., Room 4002 Washington, DC 20405 Snavely King Majoros O'Connor & Lee, Inc. General Services Administration 1220 L Street, N. W., Suite 410 Washington, DC 20005 Gail L. Polivy GTE Service Corporation 1850 M Street, N. W. Suite 1200 Washington, D.C. 20036

Thomas R. Parker GTE Service Corporation 600 Hidden Ridge, MS HQ-E03J43 P. O. Box 152092 Irving, Texas 75015-2092 Gregory J. Vogt William B. Baker GTE Service Corporation Wiley, Rein & Fielding 1776 K Street, N. W. Washington, DC 20006

Kenneth A. Kirley
McLeodUSA Telecommunications
Services, Inc.
400 S. Highway 169, No. 750
Minneapolis, MN 55426

Alan Buzacott Henry G. Hultquist MCI WorldCom, Inc. 1801 Pennsylvania Avenue, N. W. Washington, DC 20006

Kent F. Heyman Scott A. Sarem Richard E. Heatter MGC Communications, Inc. 3301 N. Buffalo Drive Las Vegas, NV 89129 Margot Smiley Humphrey National Rural Telecom Association Koteen & Naftalin, L.L.P. 1150 Connecticut Avenue, N. W. Suite 1000 Washington, DC 20036-4104

L. Marie Guillory
Daniel Mitchell
The National Telephone Cooperative Assoc.
4121 Wilson Boulevard, Tenth Floor
Arlington, VA 22203-1801

Stuart Polikoff OPASTCO 21 Dupont Circle NW Suite 700 Washington, DC 20036 William L. Fishman RCN Telecom Services, Inc. Swidler Berlin Shereff Friedman, LLP 3000 K Street, N. W., Suite 300 Washington, D. C. 20007-5116

Alfred G. Richter, Jr.
Roger K. Toppins
Michael J. Zpevak
Thomas A. Pajda
SBC Communications, Inc.
One Bell Plaza, Room 3003
Dallas, Texas 75202

Robert M. Halperth The State of Alaska Crowell & Moring LLP 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N. W. Washington, DC 20004 David Cosson Rural Independent Competitive Alliance Kraskin, Lesse & Cosson, LLP 2120 L Street, N. W., Suite 520 Washington, DC 20037

Leon M. Kestenbaum Jay C. Keithley H. Richard Juhnke Sprint Corporation 1850 M Street, N. W.,11th Floor Washington, DC 20036

John W. Katz, Esquire Special Counsel to the Governor Director, State-Federal Relations Office of the State of Alaska Suite 336, 444 North Capitol Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20001 Michael Wilson
John Mapes
Department of Commerce and
Consumer Affiars
State of Hawaii
250 South King Street
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

Lawrence E. Harris
David S. Turetsky
Terri B. Natoli
Edward B. Krachmer
Teligent, Inc.
Suite 400, 8065 Leesburg Pike
Vienna, VA 22182

Charles C. Hunter
Catherine M. Hannan
Hunter Communications Law Group
1620 I Street, N. W.
Suite 701
Washington, DC 20006

Lawrence E. Sarjeant
Linda Kent
Keith Townsend
John Hunter
Julie E. Rones
United States Telephone Association
1401 H Street, N. W., Suite 600
Washington, DC 20005

Jerrry Brueggeman U S West, Inc. 1801 California Street Denver, CO 80202 Herbert E. Marks
Brian J. McHugh
The State of Hawaii
Squire, Sanders & Dempsey, LLP
1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, N. W.
P. O. Box 407
Washington, DC 20044

Brian Conboy
Thomas Jones
Time Warner Telecom
Willkie Farr & Gallagher
Three Lafayette Centre
1155 21st Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20036

David A. Irwin
Total Telecommunications Services, Inc.
Irwin, Campbell & Tannenwald, P.C.
1730 Rhode Island Avenue, N. W.
Suite 200
Washington, DC 20036-3101

John H. Harwood II Samir Jain David M. Sohn Julie A. Veach U S West, Inc. Wilmer, Cutler & Pickering 2445 M Street, N. W. Washington, DC 20037-1420

Russell C. Merbeth Lawrence A. Walke Winstar Communications, Inc. 1615 L Street N. W., Suite 1260 Washington, DC 20036 Danny E. Adams
Joan M. Griffin
Enrico Sorian
Winstar Communications, Inc.
Kelley Drye & Warren LLP
1200 19th Street N. W., Suite 500
Washington, DC 20036

Robert J. Aamoth Joan M. Griffin Competitive Telecommunications Association Kelley Drye & Warren LLP 1200 19th Street, N. W. Suite 500 Washington, DC 20036

*Magalie Roman Salas
Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
The Portals, 445 Twelfth Street, S. W.
Room TW-A325
Washington, DC 20554

*The Honorable William E. Kennard, Chairman Federal Communications Commission The Portals, 445 Twelfth Street, S. W. Room 8-B201 Washington, D. C. 20554

*The Honorable Michael Powell, Commissioner Federal Communications Commission The Portals, 445 Twelfth Street, S. W. Room 8-A204 Washington, D.C. 20554 Susan M. Eid Richard A. Karre MediaOne Group, Inc. 1919 Pennsylvania Avenue, N. W. Suite 610 Washington, DC 20006

Michael J. Bradley
Richard J. Johnson
Minnesota CLEC Consortium
Moss & Barnett
A Professional Association
4800 Norwest Center, 90 South Seventh St.
Minneapolis, MN 55402-4129

*International Transcription Services The Portals, 445 12th Street, S. W. Suite CY-B400 Washington, DC 20554

*The Honorable Harold Furchtgott-Roth Commissioner Federal Communications Commission The Portals, 445 Twelfth Street, S. W. Room 8-A302 Washington, D. C. 20554

*The Honorable Susan Ness, Commissioner Federal Communications Commission The Portals, 445 Twelfth Street, S. W. Room 8-B115 Washington, D. C. 20554 *The Honorable Gloria Tristani, Commissioner Federal Communications Commission The Portals, 445 Twelfth Street, S. W. Room 8-C302 Washington, D. C. 20554

* VIA HAND DELIVERY